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Synopsis:
The present article scrutinizes two narrative aspects: story time (erzählte Zeit) and narrative time (Erzählzeit) in Shamran al-Yasery’s tetralogy Abu Gati’ examining their functions in generating atmosphere of tension and suspense. Gerard Genette in Narrative Discourse (1980) clarifies that ‘story time’ points to the chronological sequence of the events and incidents in a story. While ‘narrative time’ refers to the ‘pseudo-temporal order’ of the incidents and their arrangement in the narrative. Examining the narrative ‘anachronies’ of Abu Gati’ entails inspecting different sorts of discordance in the narrative structure of the scenes which are diagnosed, in the present article, in three kinds: reversion, ellipse and inversion. Through a textual analysis of specific scenes, events and incidents are examined and their connections to story time and narrative time are accentuated pinpointing within the process their thematic reference. While measuring forms of anachronisms, selected letters and numbers are given to the addressed incidents in order to establish ample connections between them and to render the analysis lucid and comprehensible.
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1. Abu Gati’: Plot Summary
Abu Gati’ (1989) is a tetralogy of four parts: al-Zinad (The Rifle), Balabush Dunya (Dammed Life), Ghanam al-Shyukh (The Sheep of the Sheikhs) and Fulus Hmayid (Hmayid’s Money). The novel has no single protagonist as the focus is divided among the main members of al-Muhalal tribe. They are mainly; the sheikh’s of the tribe Saadunal-Muhalhal, his cousins Husain and Khalaf and the shepherd of the sheikh Hmayid Abu al-Benah. In the third and fourth parts of the tetralogy, the narrative attention is polarized on the second generation, the sons of the first generation; Dhary, Fadhil, Malla Ni’m and Salih Abu al-Benah. Abu Gati’ under the British mandate governed by King Faysal L.10 Three years after the Twentieth Revolution 11, Thawrat al-Shreen, the British governor, as part of a policy to maintain the allegiance of the southern tribes, pays large amounts of money as gifts, hadiesya, to the sheikhs of the tribes. Sheikh Saadun gains privileges and achieves profits from the generous British offerings. Following the advice of his close friend sheik Saadun buys water pumps to irrigate the lands of the farmers in return for a share in their crops. The more affluent and wealthy Saadun grows, the higher the rate of the share he demands. His exploitive policy angers the tribesmen and set them to furry. Husain and Khalaf, Saadun’s cousins, refute the sheik’s exploitation and scorn it. However, their protests were feeble and soon were abated.

In the second part, Balabush Dunya, sheikh Saadun died leaving the leadership of the trip to his eldest son Falih. The new sheik exceeds his father not only in his greed and exploitation, but also in the moral corruption. Falih seems to immerse himself in drinking, celebrating gratifying nights with the kawliya and indulging himself in sexual affairs with the wives of his relatives. Balabush Dunya narrates the tragic death of Nasir, Husain’s son, who is shot in a demonstration.

In the fourth part, Fulus Hmayid, focuses on the conspiracies between Jnedy and Mhedy, two sons of Salih al-Benah, who set a scheme to rob their father’s buried money. Accidently Salih meets sheikh Hasan al-Karbabi and his companion and cautiously inquiries about the tribe and the farmers in al-Batra. To his delight, he comes to know that sheikh Falih has launched a prosperous business and the farmers each gained a plot of land to cultivate as their property. Before al-Benah celebrates the joyful account, he is struck with the news that the new republic government has changed the old currency. Thus, al-Benah’s buried money which he and his father have gathered throughout the past years is valueless now. With this blow, he falls to the ground desperate and motionless.

2. Generating Tension through Story and Narrative Time
The opening scene of al-Zinad depicts sheikh Saadun al-Muhalhal and members of his tribe entertaining their evening in al-Rab’a, the tribe’s gathering hall, listening to popular verse recited by Husain, the tribe’s poet:

The beads of the rosary danced in his [Husain’s] hand, then he rolled the rosary around his wrist and curled his moustache. The voice was tender as he recited:

Oh, shall I tell you
Of the charm of your eyes
The beads of the rosary danced in his [Husain’s] hand, then he rolled the rosary around his wrist and curled his moustache.

But when family from you depart
We draw enchanted close
And your heart softly embraces
Leave your heart with us to brace
Though the gathering is attentive to Husain’s lyric, sheikh Saadun appears oblivious initiating no response. The author’s comment unveils the cause behind his bewilderment:
Their babel didn’t drive Saadun out of his silence, he was occupied by the news they unfolded earlier. It isolated him away from the surrounding. He felt the urge to hear it again so he asked Khalaf to tell it exactly as it came to him. Khalaf related the story for the third times: I met Ibn Turfa in the afternoon. He has just come back from Baghdad having with him a thousand ruppiya\(^{26}\),a present from the English governor. He said sheikh Sigab received the same and other sheikhs from various places were not less rewarded. (al-Zinad, 13)

The story of the English governor offering a thousand ruppiya to sheikhs of various tribes, which has been mentioned by the gathering two times before, captivates Saadun’s thinking rendering him inattentiveness to his tribesmen. The story time of the previous scene has the following chronological sequence: first, Khalaf’s story about Ibn Turfa and the British gift, A1. The second is related to Saadun’s reaction and his sense of bewilderment, A2:

A1 → A2

Concerning the narrative time of this scene, it reverses the order since the reader is introduced first to sheikh Saadun’s state of absentmindedness, A2. Gradually the obscurity of the scene is cleared when Khalaf is asked, for the third time, to narrate his encounter with Ibn Turfa, A1. Accordingly, the scene order becomes:

A2 → A1

This reversion creates a sense of tension and colors the scene with an era of perplexity and ambiguity. William Nobel in Elements of Fiction Writing: Conflict, Action and Suspense (1994) comments on the strategies involved in a suspense scene “we must see it [suspense scene] as a building up of uncertainty, keeping the reader guessing, and leaving question marks”.\(^{27}\)On another level, such reversion contributes in bringing forth past incidents into the present for purposes of commentary and critique. The story of the English gifts emerges out of the present situation while Saadun and his company entertain their evening. This technique rims the past incidents with ‘the point of view’\(^{28}\)of the present. This is caught in Ghaflil and Khalaf’s interpretation of the story:
-Tell me men…this English governor…why is he giving this amount of money? The sheik inquired.
-He buys men with it. I swear on the soul of Muhalhal that this is the reason. What else Ghaflil affirmed.
-He [the English governor] is not losing anything. This note of a hundred they print it by their own hands…damned life. Khalaf said (al-Zinad, 13-14)

The sheikhs’ cousins offer two justifications for the governor’s gifts: first, he seeks the sheikhs’ allegiance particular after the Twentieth Revolution. Second, the British authority is the ruling power of Iraq, it enjoys the liberty of managing, administrating and extravagantly spending from the country’s budge. Bringing in a past incident into a present situation makes possible not only for the comments of the group to be delivered after listening to the story, but even while the story is narrated. This is caught in Husain’s flow of memories while he is listening to Khalaf’s account:
Husain remembered when he sat a trap for a group of thieves…some distance from his house. The village’s dogs were fighting on a donkey’s carcass, when a hyena passed by. He belittled the dogs’ threats, snatched the carcass and carried it in his jaw. Husain inquired within himself: is it true what they say? The smell of the carrion attracts the hyena from a long distance. (al-Zinad, 13)

Through the technique of the stream of consciousness, the narrator unveils Husain’s memories which pinpoint his allegorical understanding of the relationship between the English presents and the sheikhs’ eagerness for affluence and wealth. The dogs and hyenas are metaphors for the sheikhs of Iraq who are in a competition to gain the governor’s presents, symbolized, in Husain’s story, by the donkey’s carcass. Furthermore, (Husain’s memory anticipates sheikh Saadun’s acceptance of the English money, an occurrence that takes place in the subsequent scenes. Therefore, the reversion in scene order culminates in an equal reversion in story time and narrative time. This technique fulfills three purposes: first, it builds up an area of mystery and bafflement, second, it permits past incidents to spring out of the present situation with critique and interpretation. Third, this narrative strategy contributes in foreshadowing coming events accentuating the era of prediction and expectation.

The narrative order of Abu Gati’ entails cases of ellipsis where a portion of a sequence of events is omitted leaving a gap between the duration of the events according to story time and their duration following narrative time. In the fourth part of the tetralogy, Fulus Hmayid, Salih, the shepherd, is baffled and restlessly fearing sheikh Falih’s inquiry about the shrinking number of the sheep, which Salih stealthily has sold them. Surprisingly, the sheik appears indifferent displaying no interest in this subject. He seems captured by an indistinct affair which Salih could not decipher from the conversation between Falih and his assistance, (Dawood)

The sheikh turned to (Dawood)
-Stop the car behind the tunnel, and let them come walking
-But it is far for them, God save you. Dawood replied
-It’s better to meet there…as if we are going to the kawliya
-As you wish, God save you. (Fulus Hmayid, 17)

The dialogue is abstruse and Salih, who is the center of the scene, remains disturbed unable to interpret its connotations. After a couple of scenes, a meeting is portrayed between Dawood, Malla Ali, who is responsible for the sheik’s financial state, and Ubaid al-Mentaz, the farmers’ monitor, at night. The astonished Zina, Dawood’s wife, watches them while bringing heavy bundles from the outside and placing them at the corner of the house:
After a while Ubaidal-Mentaz returned followed by Zina’s father, each was staggering under the weight of the roll he was carrying. They repeatedly went out and returned. In the final time, Dawood accompanied them. Then Ubaidal-Mentaz began taking the rolls to his house. (Fulus Hmayid, 38)

When Zina asked about the mysterious bundles, her husband whispers ‘Those are the guns and the bullets of the sheikh’ (Fulus Hmayid, 38). The story order entails three scenes: the first depicts a meeting between the sheikh and Dawood as they discuss a plan to transfer guns from the sheik’s house to Dawood’s, which Salih attends and could not comprehend, B1. The second, omitted from the narrative order, is the implementation of the first part of the plan when Dawood, Ubaid al-Mentaz and Malla Ali cautiously transfer the wrapped rifles and the bags of bullets from the sheikh’s house to the car near the tunnel, B2. The third scene depicts the three men carefully storing the rolls of guns in the courtyard of Dawood’s house, to be moved later to the house of Ubaid al-Mentaz, B3:
B1→B2→B3

Eliminating B2 from the narrative sequence, the narrative order becomes:
B1→B3

The ellipsis points to the fact that the duration of the events in the story is not in accordance with their duration in the narrative. Gerald Prince in Narratology (1982) comments on the speed of narrative stating that “the speed of a narrative varies considerably and it is this variation which helps give the narrative a certain rhythm”.\(^{29}\) Hence, in this scene, the discordance between narrative time and story time leads to time condense, invites the reader to fill in the missing parts of
the narrative with his own imagination and generates an atmosphere of ambiguity and suspense.

Scene inversion is a decisive strategy in Abu Gati’ which is frequently accompanied by an equal inversion in the story time and narrative time. This inversion contributes in highlighting elements of obscurity and tension in the text. In a scene in Balabush Dunya, the farmers are astonished marveling at a vague incident of a veiled visitor who is seen at the house of Ubaid al-Mentaz the night before:

The farmers whispered, while the Malla is away, about a mysterious incident. A strange man was seen in the little car of sheikh Dhary, the son of Falih, in the thickening darkness of the evening. The car stopped at the house of Ubaid al-Mentaz, the farmers’ monitor of Mwayilha village. Ubaid, himself, affirmed later that the veiled man is an employee in the Private Section in Kut province. He came to verify the secret reports they have been receiving from the district. Ubaid hints to Malla Nima having a role in these reports. 

(InBalabush Dunya, 41)

Inscrutable details are provided by a farmer who happens to be at the house of Ubaid when the visitor arrives:

He didn’t enter the guest hall until we left out. When I sneaked and tried to catch a glimpse of his face on the light of my lamp, I was astonished to see the guest room was dark. But I kept watching to see who came in and out. Then I was astonished to find the man leaving with Ubaid after dinner...they went to the direction of the river...then they returned after an hour or more (Balabush Dunya, 41)

The subsequent scene clarifies the vagueness related to the indistinct identity of the visitor with the true revelation of the whole incident. Dhary, the sheikh’s son, is hosting in his house Saada, a prostitute. After a gratifying night with her, the son frightfully knows about his father’s sudden return. To save the situation, Dawood suggests to dress the woman in men clothes and send her back, which is the same camouflage she came in the day before. Dawood narrates to Ubaid:

Finally, I thought of dressing her from top to foot of Dhary’s clothes. She appeared like a great sheikh (as you have seen). No one suspected her entry into the villa. Those who saw her thought he is definitely a friend of the sheikhs’ son, a dear guest in the villa of al-Muhhalal. (Balabush Dunya,42) Dawood’s account genuinely inverts the incident circulated by the farmers of what they thought to be the government sudden investigation of the sheik’s house into a story of an unfortunate sexual adventure of the sheikh’s son. According to story time, the sudden arrival of the father, C1, and the camouflage of the prostitute, C2, are actions that occurs prior to the curious reaction of the farmers, C3 followed by Ubaid’s fabricated story of the employee from the Private Section, C4. Hence, the chronological scene order is:

C1→C2→C3→C4

Nevertheless, an ample inversion of scene order and time sequence are diagnosed in the scrutinized scene since it opens with the villagers’ gossip about a strange visitor, C3, followed by Ubaid al-Mentaz’s faked declaration of the identity of the visitor, an employee from the Private Section, C4. Then the abrupt news of the arrival of the father, C1 followed by Dawood’s disguise plan, C2. According to narrative time, the arrangement of the incidents is the following:

C3→C4→C1→C2

This inversion which takes a ‘zigzag’ like path accentuates elements of tension, it runs the scene with the era of uncertainty and paves the ground for another kind of reversal, this time in the thematic content. In the examined scene above, al-Yasery skillfully inverts what appears to have a political connotation into a non-political one. The mysterious visit of the government agent to the villa of the sheikh seems to carry hideous political references since it occurs during the turmoil of the 1958 revolution. Contrary to this is the prostitute’s visit which is related to Dhary’s sexual affair and his insistence to gratify them despite the political upheaval. The inversion bestows a humorous flavor on the scene and delivers a sharp critique related to the effortless way through which simple personal affair could acquire hideous political connotations owing to the riot following the coups d’état. The skilful narrative and thematic inversion renders the novel, as Roland Barthes in The Pleasure of the Text (1975) describes, a text of ‘bliss’ as it is dexterously “de-politicizing what is apparently political and politicizing what apparently is not”.

To conclude one may venture to state that al-Yasery’s tetralogy Abu Gati’ explicitly demonstrates cases of narrative discordance which highlight a disparity between narrative time and story time. The narrative anachronism of the text are implemented through a variety of strategies such as: reversion, ellipsis and inversion. These techniques accentuate an era of tension and uncertainty, intensify elements of prediction and expectation and point to the paradoxical logic of fiction which, according to Gerard Genette: ‘[…] requires one to define any element or any unit of narrative by its functional character, that is to say among other things by its correlation with another unit and to account for the first (in the order of narrative time) by the second, and so on whence it results that the last is the one which governs all others and is governed by nothing: this is essential locus of arbitrariness at least in the immanence of narrative itself, for it is then easy to find for it all the psychological, historical, or aesthetic determinants that we want.”
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