

گۆڤارا زانستێن مرۆڤايەتى يا زانكۆيا زاخۆ مجلة العلوم الانسانية لجامعة زاخو Humanities Journal of University of Zakho (HJUOZ)

Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 67-80, Jan..-Mar.-2024.



An analysis of English writing errors of Kurdish EFL post-graduate students

Idrees Muhi Ali*

University of Zakho, Kurdistan Region - Iraq. (Adris.zaxoy30@gmail.com)

Received: 05/2023 / Accepted: 08/2023 / Published: 01/2024 <u>https://doi.org/10.26436/hjuoz.2024.12.1.1270</u>

ABSTRACT:

This study aims to recognize, categorize, and assess the errors in English writing done by Kurdish post-graduate learners enrolled at Language Center (LC) of the University of Zakho, Kurdistan Region of Iraq. This is conducted via applying the error analysis technique, which is considered a vital part of EFL research. By using a quantitative and qualitative method, the researchers limited their literature review and data analysis to the writing errors by several scholars from various angles, excluding types of writing errors (i.e., interlingual and intralingual errors are the two main classifications of errors.) according to Brown (2000). Thirty-two (32) postgraduate students from different disciplines took part in this study. The major data collection tool was the students' answer sheets from the elementary and pre-intermediate academic English curriculum at different English language levels (cutting edge). The current study seeks to address the type of writing errors and how frequently such writing errors occur in Kurdish EFL students' writing. The researchers implemented process of coding for finding out writing errors, and tabulated their frequencies and percentages on bar charts. According to the findings of this study, the participants made fourteen different types of writing errors: grammar, punctuation, spelling, capitalization, literal translation, misuse of verbs, articles, unnecessary prepositions, misuse of pronouns, subject-verb agreement, misuse of prepositions, apostrophes, misuse of conjunctions and sing of wordiness. The findings of this study also revealed that the most common errors committed by the Kurdish learners were in grammar, punctuation, using of wordiness, spelling, and capitalization. Such results are important for EFL teachers because they encourage them to pay careful attention to their students' writing errors and utilize them as pedagogical guidelines while designing activities. **KEYWORDS:** Writing Skills, EFL, English Writing Errors, Sources of Writing Errors.

1. Introduction

One of the four language skills, writing, is generally thought to be the most challenging to master, particularly in a place like the Kurdistan Region of Iraq, where English is taught as a foreign language in schools around the area. Although writing is taught in English at all school levels, students still struggle with it from kindergarten to university. Students gain receptive and productive skills during their academic careers including reading, writing, speaking, and listening. All four of these language skills must be considered by learners in order to acquire the language, since they are interdependent. It is well acknowledged that teaching English writing to EFL students and non-native English speakers alike may be extremely challenging (Richards & Renandya, 2002). However, according to Krashen (1982), adult learners are typically exposed to more comprehensive input that helps them learn the language more easily and quicker (cited in Murad, 2015).

Kurdish EFL teachers must concentrate on all language skills without prioritizing any of them, but they must give particular attention to their students' writing (Amnuai, 2020). However, according to Schmitt (2000), teaching an infinite number of chunks is neither feasible nor desirable, but it is advantageous for language learners to be exposed to lexical chunks and develop skills in analyzing them (cited in Abdulqader et al., 2017).

As the Arabic script is used in schools rather than the Latin alphabet, the Kurdistan Region of Iraq is distinct in writing ability. As a result, improving a learner's writing skills requires more time, effort, and experience. Several studies have been done on the writing mistakes made by Kurdish students (Ahmed, 2017; Omar, 2019; Abdullah & Muhammad, 2020; Aljaf et al., 2021).

The studies examine written corrective feedback, error correction, and the writing issues encountered by Kurdish EFL learners. Additionally, the studies that Kurdish learners' English writing proficiency is quite low, despite years of study. More intriguingly, it is surprising to find postgraduate students majoring in the English language have the same difficulties with English writing abilities as their peers from other departments.

Kurdish EFL learners' poor writing abilities are partially attributed to technology since the majority of the learners write messages, emails, assignments, and projects using electronic devices like smartphones, tablets, and computers. Furthermore, teachers should feel awful about their learners' poor writing performance since they can at

^{*} Corresponding Author.

This is an open access under a CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

the very least recognize the learners' mistakes and concentrate on them for correction. Even though a little amount of research has been conducted on this topic in the Kurdistan Region of Iraq, none of these studies have been able to accurately identify Kurdish learners' English writing errors, this study aims to shed light on the writing abilities of Kurdish EFL learners from English Language center at Zakho University, as well as to identify and categorize their most frequent writing errors. More precisely, the current study seeks to address the following questions:

1. What type of writing errors do Kurdish EFL students often make?

2. How frequently such writing errors occur in Kurdish EFL students' writings?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Error Analysis

Error analysis is a technique used to assess the speaking and writing abilities of foreign or second language learners. According to Crystal (2008), error analysis is a "technique for identifying, classifying and systematically interpreting the unacceptable forms produced by someone learning a foreign language, using any of the principles and procedures provided by linguistics" (p.165). Many scholars have presented arguments from a variety of perspectives. For instance, Corder (1967) and Brown (2000) underline the importance of analysing learners' mistakes since they may help identify EFL learners' strengths and weaknesses in English writing abilities. Similarly, Al-Saudi (2013) believes that errors might assist language teachers in determining their students' progress. The concept of error analysis in the context of teaching and learning FL or SL dates all the way back to the 1970s. Over the last several decades, error analysis has attracted a large number of researchers to collect and explain language learners' errors made in writing and speaking. Many have misinterpreted the concept of error analysis in relation to learners' writing performance, although errors are seen as an integral element of the process of teaching and learning a language (Khansir, 2012); some even argue that they are an essential part of the language learning process (Corder, 1974). However, writing errors cannot be eliminated, they may assist language teachers in placing a greater emphasis on the learners' writing abilities, analyzing their errors, identifying the causes for their errors, and proposing an applicable remedy. Therefore, writing errors must be taken into account and should not be overlooked as they help learners develop their writing abilities.

According to Ellis (2008), the analysis of errors may aid language teachers in identifying their students' writing errors and dealing with them in a reasonable manner, and they also assist them in paying more attention to the construction of resources for learners in the future. Aljaf, Ahmed, and Salim (2021), on the other hand, believe that learners' writing errors are seen as a stumbling block in the process of language acquisition and that they must be addressed quickly.

2.2 Sources of Errors

According to Lado (1957), the most common causes of mistakes are due to the learners' first language interfering with the target language learning process during the target

language learning process. Typically, EFL learners discover that certain components of the target language are simple for them to learn, while other elements are difficult for them to acquire. In the case of these aspects being identical to those in their native language, they will be easy for them to learn. However, if the characteristics of the target language vary from those of their native language, it is assumed that they will find it challenging. In addition, this is true for Kurdish EFL students since several aspects of the Kurdish language are distinct from those of the English language. As a result, it takes a significant amount of time and effort for individuals to master the aspects of the language that are distinct from their native tongue. One such cause for making errors is overgeneralization, which occurs when learners believe that a pattern or norm in English functions without taking into account irregularities or exceptions. Another kind of learner's writing error that has been more prevalent in recent years is the use of technology, namely electronic gadgets that hinder the development of the learners' writing skills in English.

2.3 Types of Errors

Brown (2000) states that errors are classified into two broad categories: interlingual errors and intralingual errors. The former refers to errors that are obvious and attributable to first language interference, which is referred to as negative interlingual transfer. The latter refers to errors caused by wrongly applying target language rules. Based on the researcher's observation of Kurdish writing and the findings of studies, Kurdish EFL Learners often make errors with plural nouns, third-person singular (s/es), capitalization, tenses, prepositions, and word order (Aljaf et al., 2021). Despite the problems that Kurdish EFL learners face in the classroom, EFL teachers cannot avoid the reality that they are not paying attention to their students' low performance in English language writing.

2.4 Previous Studies

Recently, academics have been increasingly interested in the issue of writing mistakes among EFL learners. Many investigations and evaluations of learners' English writing errors have been conducted all around the world. There have been a few studies focused on Kurdish EFL learners' writing errors in the Iraqi Kurdistan Region, but none have been able to show the precise kinds of errors the learners make when writing English. For instance, Chen (2004) looked at the English writing mistakes made by Chinese ESL students. His study found that students brought grammatical elements from the Chinese language to the English language. Ridha (2012) conducted a study to identify the essay writing mistakes made by Iraqi EFL students. The statistics showed that students produced a range of mistakes, with grammatical, word order, and grammar problems being the most frequent ones. The results of his analysis also showed that Arabic language interference was the main cause of the learners' blunders. Using the writings of 23 students at Gazi University, Köroglu (2014) assessed the syntactic mistakes committed by Turkish EFL learners in Turkey. The study demonstrated that students frequently overgeneralized and violated grammatical conventions while using prepositions, among other intralingual and interlingual mistakes. Abdullah (2020) conducted a study to determine

the kind and frequency of errors made by Kurdish EFL students. The researcher collected data for the study by administering a composition exam to participants. The study discovered that the most often made errors by participants were in punctuation and capitalization. Aljaf, Ahmed, and Salim (2021) performed the most current research on writing error analysis in the Kurdistan Region. Their research sought to investigate the types and frequency of errors made by students in their writing. 80 writing examples from their students were randomly selected to identify and determine the most frequent errors. Their study discovered that the majority of students' compositions had spelling, punctuation, grammatical, and lexical problems.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

A corpus design and qualitative approaches were combined to create the mixed-methods design that was used to collect the data for this study. According to McCarthy and Sampson (2005), corpus design is the study of language is created in a scenario representative of the actual world, such as students' responses to writing assignments. Creswell (2012) claims that qualitative research is a form of academic research and that in order to carry it out, researchers must rely on the opinions of participants, ask in-depth questions, collect data that is primarily made up of texts written by participants, describe and analyze the words used by participants in the texts, and carry out formal analysis of the collected data.

3.2 Participants

In the present study, a sample of 32 post-graduate participants specializing in different disciplines (11 females and 21 males) participated. These participants are enrolled at the Language Center of the University of Zakho. The participants are enrolled at the language center to finish three or four levels of English proficiency courses for different academic purposes, such as applying for postgraduate studies (M.A. or PhD) as well as some of them in order to amend their certificates in their postgraduate studies. Prior to their enrollment in the English proficiency course, the participants have to take an official English language placement test conducted by the ministry of higher education and scientific research in the Kurdistan region. It is worth mentioning that all participants were of Kurdish origin and were between the ages of 23 and 35.

3.3 Instrument

The test sheets from both elementary and preintermediate academic English students enrolled at Language Center at the "University of Zakho" served as the main instrument for gathering data for this study. The test takers were required to write at least fifteen lines, which were about one hundred and fifty words to write a composition. In light of this, their responses had to be expressive in nature. More specifically, the questions were as follows: Write more than one hundred and fifty words on one of the following topics:

1- Kurdish Nawroz Festival.

2- Your Childhood Ambitions.

3.4 Procedures

The participants were given the question sheets and informed that the responses would be gathered and examined for research purposes. The time was free for them to finish their writing. After collecting them, the researcher reviewed and revised the answers on the participants' answer sheets. The trustworthiness of the articles was then determined by having two more experienced academics review them. The researcher made it a point to highlight any flaws he saw and document them in a notebook as he checked and marked the articles.

3.5 Data analysis

In order to analyze the data collected for this study, the investigator utilized the procedures established by Corder (1974) in his research. First and foremost, every word and phrase the students wrote in their responses was carefully reviewed to see what writing errors they had produced. Second, coding was used to categorize the different error types into separate portions, which were then converted into a percentage to show how frequently they occurred. Finally, the results of this study were contrasted with those of a few other earlier investigations that had been carried out with a similar aim. The category of writing errors created by Chanquoy (2001), which was based on the findings of a prior study, was used to examine the data of this study. These errors include the following examples: 1. Spelling errors: This category includes errors that are connected to orthography.

2. Grammatical errors are divided into four categories: gender errors, number errors, agreement of nouns, verbs, and adjectives, and subject-verb agreement.

3. Punctuation mistakes: capitalization and punctuation problems fall under this category.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Types of English Writing Errors Made by the Kurdish EFL postgraduate Students in their Answers:

Results of this study showed that Kurdish EFL students from language center (LC) at Zakho University of Zakho, Iraq, made a variety of errors in their writings, including: grammatical errors, punctuation, wordiness, spelling, capitalization, literal translation, misuse of verbs, articles, unnecessary prepositions, misuse of pronouns, subjectverb agreement, misuse of prepositions, apostrophes, and misuse of conjunctions, as shown in Table 1.

Type of Errors Definition of error type No. Example of error identified The students misspelled the words "talked," 1 Spelling toked, plaing, aluawes, selebration. 'playing," "always," and "Celebration." $\overline{2}$ on the other hand, people celebrate it one At the start of the sentence, the first letter of Capitalization the word "on" is the small letter "lowercase." day before. *"allah"* is a proper noun. So, the initial letter I knew that the thing that *allah* gives you is not capitalized. always the best thing. 3 Grammar I always played with my little sister. The correct form: I always play with my little sister. 4 Punctuation one day there were man called kawa A full stop must be used to end each decided to kill the king to do that he sentence. The correct form is: chosed fire to be a symbol for killing the (One day, there was a man called kawa, who king. decided to kill the king. To do that, he chose fire to be a symbol for killing the king.) Comma must be used between (one day and there was) as well as between (To do that, he chose fire ...), as well as a full stop must be utilized to mark the end of the sentence. (who decided to kill the king.) Hyphen is missing; the sentence must be as: (When kawa killed zohak who killed many young people and used their bone-marrow for his treatment.) 'When kawa killed zohak, who killed many young people, and used their bone marrow for his treatment". 5 Before 21st march going to mountain. Misuse of pronouns The pronoun is missing, and the sentence must be (Before 21st of march, *people* go to the All people go out from home to picnic to mountains.) make happy day with our family and Here, the pronoun "they" is missing, and the making food. sentence must be (All people go out from home to picnic to make happy day with our family, and *they* make food. The pronoun "my" is missing, and the correct sentence should be Many researches about update disease and Many research about update disease and try my best for my community.) trying the best for my community. The pronouns "who" and "people" are missing, and the correct one must be: (When kawa killed zohak who killed many young people and used their bone-marrow for his When kawa killed zohak because of killing treatment.) many young and use their bone-marrow for his treatment. 6 Prepositions When I was in high school, I wanted to The preposition "in" cannot be related to the complete my studies. noun "school" in this example. The correct preposition is:

Table 1: Classification of error types

			(at high school). When I was <i>at</i> high school, I wanted to
		at the 21 st , march the first day of Nawroz.	complete my studies.
		In addition, on Nawroz kurd go to outside to picnic.	The preposition "at" doesn't match with the number "21". The correct one is (on the 21th of March). On 21st of March is the first day of Nawroz.
			Here, the preposition "on" must be changed into "in". The sentence must be In addition, <i>in</i> Nawroz kurds go outside "outdoors" to have picnic.
7	Articles	Nawroz holds special meaning Kurdish	The article "a" is not included in this sentence The proper sentence is: (Nawroz holds a special meaning for Kurdish people.)
		people.	The article "the" is missing. The correct form is (The Kurdish new year starts from it.)
		Its Kurdish new year start from it.	
8	Subject verb agreement	There were several ambition for me when I was at the age of teenager. My childhood ambitions is when I was 12 years old.	The subjects and verbs of these two sentences do not match. The first one "s" is wrong, and in the second one "is" is wrong , . The correct forms must be: There were several ambitions for me, when I was teenager.
			My childhood ambitions <i>are</i> when I was 12 years old.
9	Wordiness	All people come back to home. Among the kurds families spend the day in the countryside I didn't get the enough grades.	Unnecessary words, such as (to, among the, and the) are found in the sentences. The correct sentences are: All people come back home. Kurds families spend the day in the countryside. I didn't get enough grades.
10	Misuse of conjunctions	So that I could collect money so that I could be successful.	In this sentence the conjunction "and" is missing. The correct form must be: So that, I could collect money, <i>and</i> so that, I could be successful.
11	Apostrophes	I always played with my little sisters and brothers with my doctor toys and pretended myself as a doctor.	The possessive apostrophe for the word "doctor" is missing. The correct form must be: (I always played with my little sisters and brothers with my doctor's toys and pretended myself as a doctor.)
12	Misuse of prepositions	I could be successful and not depend on anyone in my life.	The preposition "to" is missing and the accurate sentence must be: I could be successful and not to depend on
13	Literal translation from Kurdish to English	It located on 21 st march.	anyone in my life. The word "located" derives from Kurdish. So, the sentence in English is: It is on 21st march.
		When I child my ambition I wanted player football.	"I wanted player football" is a Kurdish expression. The English must be: When I was a child, my ambition was that to be a football player.

become a physician.	phrase started with the past tense and the
	correct form must be:
	(When I was a child my great ambition was
	to become a physician.)

Table 1 shows that the Kurdish postgraduate EFL students at Zakho University language center "LC" produced a variety of writing errors. Their text had problems in Grammar, Punctuation, Wordiness, Spelling, Capitalization, Literal translation from Kurdish to English, Misuse of verbs, Articles, Unnecessary preposition, Misuse of pronouns, Subject-verb agreement, Misuse of prepositions, Apostrophes, and Misuse of conjunctions. 4.2 Frequency of Writing Errors Made by the post-graduate Kurdish EFL Students

Current study aimed to identify the most frequent errors made by Kurdish postgraduate learners by looking at the mistakes they made when writing. According to the findings of the study, the participants frequently committed the same errors when they write, Look at Table 2 below and Figure 1.

Type of error	Frequency	Percentage %
Grammar	212	19.57
Punctuation	193	17.82
Wordiness	171	15.78
Spelling	170	15.69
Capitalization	88	8.12
literal translation	71	6.55
Misuse of verbs	53	4.89
Articles	32	2.95
Unnecessary preposition	29	2.67
Misuse of pronouns	22	2.03
Subject verb agreement	17	1.56
Misuse of prepositions	9	0.83
Apostrophes	7	0.64
Misuse of conjunctions	7	0.64
Total	1083	100

 Table 2 Frequency and percentage of the writing error types



Figure 1 Kurdish EFL Postgraduate students' writing errors

According to Table 2, grammatical mistakes were the most common kind of writing error committed by the students (frequency = 212; percentage = 15.69). Punctuation errors were the second most common form of mistake (frequency: 193; percentage: 17.82%). Wordiness was the third most common type of mistake made by the learners (frequency: 171; percentage: 15.78%). Spelling mistakes were the fourth most common form of error (frequency: 170; percentage: 15.69%). Other errors included capitalization (frequency = 88; percentage = 8.12%), literal translation (frequency = 71; percentage = 6.55%), verb misuse (frequency = 53; percentage = 4.89%), article misuse (frequency = 32; percentage = 2.95%), unnecessary preposition (frequency = 29; percentage = 2.67%), pronoun misuse (frequency = 22; percentage = 2.03%), subject verb agreement (frequency = 17; percentage = 1.56%), and preposition misuse (frequency = 9; percentage = 0.83%). Apostrophes (frequency = 7; percentage =0.64%) and the incorrect use or missing of conjunctions (frequency = 7; percentage = 0.64%) were also seen in the work of the Kurdish student. Additionally, there were 3627 total words written by all participants, with 1083 of those words containing errors.

4.3 Discussions

This study aimed at examining the writing errors made by Kurdish EFL students at University of Zakho Language Center (LC). To consider the first question of this study, the findings found that the students committed several different types of errors in English writing. One of these types of errors was spelling. The common misspellings that Zakho Language Center (LC) students made were: (1) e instead of i and k instead of ck in the word *cheken as opposed to chicken. (2) the letter i in the word *simbol instead of "symbol, (3) missing e in the word *natur as opposed to nature, (4) s instead of c, i is unnecessary and e is missing in the word *selebrait instead of celebrate, (5) missing 1 in the word *finaly as opposed to finally, (6) missing a in the word *beutiful as opposed to beautiful, (7) adding the letter e instead of y in the word *happe instead of happy.

Kurdish EFL learners frequently make mistakes with spelling, prepositions, plural nouns, regular and irregular verbs, third-person singular (s/es), tenses, and word order, according to the researcher's observations of Kurdish postgraduate writing and the results of studies. Full stops, capital letters, colons, and apostrophes were parts of errors. I see that all of this is due to the quality of the study and the teaching method based on the theoretical side and the teaching staff's neglect of the practical side.

It is worth noting that the Kurdish students used their mother tongue in their expressions in their writings in the target language, and sometimes this may cause the creation of writings that may not fit into the target language. For instance, "It located on 21st march". The word "located" derives from Kurdish, which means "de kavita". So, the sentence should be in English as: "It is on March 21st." We note that this sentence contains errors in sequencing the words in one sentence because of the mother tongue, so instead of saying "It is on March 21st," the learner wrote "It is on 21st march".

These findings supported Mahmood's (2016) claim that spelling mistakes were a specific type of writing error. Additionally, the research found postgraduate participants frequently failed to capitalize their words in their writing. For instance, most of them did not capitalize the first letter of a word that ought to be capitalized, regardless of the type of function of the term.

Moreover, the first letter of the first word after the full stop was not capitalized by the majority of the students who took part in this research project, as *in 21 of March people go out instead of (In). The study's findings are consistent with Körolu (2014).

The findings of this research demonstrated that the learner's paper contained grammatical errors. The expression*" I want _ have my own business" is an example of this sort of mistake. It is clear that the learner did not utilize the preposition (to) between the words "want" and "have". The proper statement is "I want to have my own business".

As a result of the present study, it was discovered that Kurdish students also frequently make errors in punctuation, pronoun usage, preposition usage, missing conjunctions, articles, and verb tenses as well as wordiness. These findings concur with those of various earlier investigations carried out by Aljaf, Ahmed, and Salim (2021), and Abdullah (2020).

Kurdish students also frequently use wordiness, which means using unnecessary words in the sentence. Particularly brief, ambiguous terms that does not add much to the content. Wordy phrases frequently use indirect language; the subject and verb do not clearly state the sentence's main idea. For example, the phrase "at that time" in learner number 30 is "when I was young in high school, at that time I started thinking about my ambition". Here, the phrase above does not add much meaning to the sentence, meaning that the meaning wouldn't be changed even if we removed it.

Regarding the second question, this study's results revealed that the most common types of writing errors made by Kurdish students were: grammar, punctuation, spelling, capitalization, and literal translation from Kurdish to English as well as frequently uses of wordiness. These sorts of writing errors are attributed to a variety of variables, including the student's native language and the Arabic alphabet, due to the students' lack of familiarity with the English alphabet. The findings of this research corroborate those of Ridha's (2012) study.

Finally, there were 3627 total words written by all participants, with 1083 of those words containing errors. And all participants have advanced degrees, including doctorates, master's, and bachelor's degrees from different disciplines. But that did not stop them from making errors, although they studied English for six years in elementary school and six years in middle school, and some students studied their postgraduate studies in English. This shows the need for the Ministry of Higher Education to review the English language course requirements for different

levels at high and middle schools as well as the university fields of study.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusions

One of the most important obstacles that EFL students confront in the process of learning English is writing skills, particularly in a place like the Kurdistan Region of Iraq where English is taught as a foreign language. Due to the students' unsatisfactory performance in writing especially in the places in which their alphabets are different from the English letters, language educational experts are always seeking to apply new techniques to discover, categorize, and evaluate the writing errors. Therefore, this study aimed at assessing the sorts and frequency of errors made by Kurdish EFL students studying at University of Zakho in their answers.

The results of the study indicated that the students made many kinds of errors including: grammar, punctuation, wordiness, spelling, capitalization, literal translation from Kurdish to English, misuse of verbs, articles, prepositions, pronouns, subject-verb agreement, apostrophes, misuse of conjunctions as well as using of wordiness. The research also discovered that there were 3627 total words written by all participants, with 1083 of those words containing errors. The most typical mistakes learners made were in grammar which refers to the lack of students' knowledge about the importance of using tenses as well as how and where to use it in the sentences. Generally, considering the table 2 we counted these four elements of Grammar mistakes, Spelling, capitalization and using of wordiness as the most frequent errors made by Kurdish learners in general. Despite greater efforts to identify and assess the writing errors the participants make; more research is required to develop the most efficient method for improving students' writing abilities.

5.2 Recommendations

The following are some suggestions made by the study team:

1. Curriculum designers should give greater emphasis to students' writing abilities while developing their courses.

3. Teachers must provide additional opportunities for their students to practice their writing skills in the classroom by incorporating exercises into their lessons.

5. When students make grammatical mistakes, teachers should identify the errors and correct them as quickly as feasible.

References

- Abdullah, k. (2020). Kurdish students' writing problems on the mechanics of english as a foreign language. *International journal of research and analytical reviews*, 7(2), 698-712.
- Abdullah, s., & muhammad, h. (2020). Spelling errors in ma theses by non-native english speakers in kurdistan region of iraq: a corpus-based study. *Twejer journal*, *3*(*1*), 1007-1036.
- Abdulqader, H. B., Murad, I. H., & Abdulghani, M. I. (2017). The impact of the application of lexical approach on developing students' writing skills at

a university level. *European scientific journal,* 13(32), 130-141. *Canadian journal of language* and literature studies, 1(2), 11-23.

https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2017.v13n32p130

- Ahmad, r. A., barzani, s. H. H., meena, r. S., & ali, h. F. (2021). Common problems in translation of political texts: the case of english and kurdish languages. *Canadian Journal of Language and Literature Studies*, 1(2), 11-23.
- Ahmed, i. A. (2017). Different types of spelling errors made by kurdish efl learners and their potential causes. *International journal of kurdish studies*, 3(2), 93-110.
- Ali, f. S., & sarok, s. (2022). Students' awareness towards using google docs in promoting writing skills in efl classes: tiu-northern iraq. *Canadian journal of language and literature studies*, 2(2), 39–51. Https://doi.org/10.53103/cjlls.v2i2.39
- Aljaf, p., ahmed, i., & salim, s. (2021). Analyzing writing errors of kurdish efl students. *Journal of garmian university*, 8(2), 293-307.
- Al-saudi, j. (2013). Error analysis and spelling mistakes of efl learners at tafila technical university: a case study. *Frontiers of language and teaching*, 4, 99-107.
- Amnuai, w. (2020). An error analysis of research project abstracts written by thai undergraduate students. *Advances in language and literary studies*, 11(4), 13-20.
- Brown, d. (2000). *Principles of language learning and teaching.* (4th ed). New york: longman
- CARLA. (2021). OVERVIEW OF ERROR ANALYSIS. RETRIEVED FROM. https://carla.umn.edu/learnerlanguage/error_analys is.html
- Chanquoy, l. (2001). How to make it easier for children to revise their writing. British journal of educational psychology printed in great britain. *The british psychological society*. 71 (1). 15- 41.
- Chen, h. C. (2004). Asymmetrical performances of english null subjects and null objects for chinese college students. *In thirteenth international symposium on english teaching, taipei.*
- Chicho, k. Z. H. (2022). An analysis of factors influencing efl learners' writing skills. *Canadian journal of language and literature studies*, 2(2), 28–38. Https://doi.org/10.53103/cjlls.v2i2.38
- Corder, s. P. (1967). The significance of learners' errors. International review of applied linguistics, 5(4), 5-13.
- Corder, s. P. (1974). Error analysis. In p. B. Allen & s. P. Corder (eds.), *techniques in applied linguistics* (pp. 122-154). London: oxford university press.
- Creswell, j. W. (2012). Educational research: planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (4th ed.). Boston: pearson education.
- Crystal, d. (2008). A dictionary of linguistics and *phonetics*. (6th ed.). Oxford: blackwell publishing.
- Ellis, r. (2008). *The study of second language acquisition*. (2nd ed.). Oxford: oxford university press.
 - Https://carla.umn.edu/learnerlanguage/error_analysis. html

- Hussein, s., meena, r. S., & ali, h. F. (2021). Integration of literature in english language teaching: learners' attitudes and opinions. *Canadian journal of language and literature studies*, 1(1), 27-43.
- Khansir, a. A. (2012). Error analysis and second language acquisition. *Theory & practice in language studies*, 2(5).
- Köroğlu, Z. Ç. (2014). An analysis of grammatical errors of Turkish EFL students' written texts.
- Electronic Turkish Studies, 9(12).Lado, r. (1957). *Linguistics across cultures.* Ann arbor: university of michigan press.
- Mahmood, D. K. (2016). An evaluation of writing skills of EFL learners in the Kurdistan region of Iraq. *International Journal of Language and Linguistics*, 4(1), 14-24.
- Murad, I. (2015). The effects of Kurdish learners' characteristics on their English language learning. *European scientific journal*, 11(10).

- Omar, J. A. (2019). Kurdish EFL learners' spelling error types and sources. *Journal of University of Raparin*, 6(2), 111-120.
- Richards, J. C., & Renandya, W. A. (2002). Methodology in language teaching: *An anthology of current practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ridha, N. (2012). The effect of EFL learners' mother tongue on their writings in English: An error analysis study. *Journal of the College of Arts*, 60, 22-45.
- Sampson, G., & McCarthy, D. (Eds.). (2005). Corpus linguistics: *Readings in a widening discipline*. A&C Black.
- Zirak, K. H. C. (2021) Embodied Learning Implementation in EFL Classroom: A Qualitative Study. International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies, 8(1), 51-58. https://doi.org/10.23918/ijsses. v8i1p51

Appendix 1

Learners	Numbers of written	Numbers of mistakes	Academic achievement
	words		
Learner 1	181	93	Bachelor degree
Learner 2	151	39	Bachelor degree
Learner 3	31	18	Master degree
Learner 4	144	62	Master degree
Learner 5	64	17	Master degree
Learner 6	190	30	Bachelor degree
Learner 7	108	63	Master degree
Learner 8	166	54	Bachelor degree
Learner 9	87	45	Bachelor degree
Learner 10	84	26	Bachelor degree
Learner 11	168	45	Bachelor degree
Learner 12	51	14	Bachelor degree
Learner 13	215	79	Doctoral
Learner 14	84	37	Bachelor degree
Learner 15	142	18	Bachelor degree
Learner 16	160	47	Bachelor degree
Learner 17	148	41	Bachelor degree
Learner 18	167	35	Bachelor degree
Learner 19	63	14	Bachelor degree
Learner 20	114	26	Bachelor degree
Learner 21	61	19	Bachelor degree
Learner 22	81	26	Bachelor degree
Learner 23	136	33	Master degree
Learner 24	25	9	Bachelor degree
Learner 25	80	21	Bachelor degree
Learner 26	72	17	Master degree
Learner 27	276	110	Bachelor degree
Learner 28	100	7	Bachelor degree
Learner 29	86	6	Master degree
Learner 30	143	38	Bachelor degree
Learner 31	62	34	Bachelor degree
Learner 32	87	6	Master degree

The Kurdish postgraduate EFL learners' errors individually as numbers

Appendix 2 Consent sheet Southay

1200 Call? JE2 Lang total hadde 0.001kg 17.20 5 Dry and 40 (and teres then de 1.00 5 10% SOMMERCY 3. proceitos Phil STIME LANS and clock V Fm Ind 34 anel LAP tor 0.0 take clouns SOG phaseldy л o.€Cohni∈ 1 Star Bione 3 2 my ant anne a Pool at. 6 PC Immunolog and 540 phany No. Same White !! nPolate ches. 120 ana -2 ser. Casherman and Ne 100 own meta set. and THUSA. Nee 01 Many Chevicas +0 and to . Additionary s, esen. 6283 and VA E steel Vier binne Chasesaid restrees E DOL tash

Appendix 3 A screenshot of scientific and literary text coding

Ms. Destric in Matins a matterna an and Allaborga FIREWARD OF CO. NAWYOF IT are Cather 410 Sh Placelond then day = Foundries who all Marc In Northon & brings d Bay Pulicy this James Party S Ilm aw.d TELMS 2 Namvoz form celberation Fral

Appendix 4 A screenshot of scientific and literary text coding

دراسة اخطاء الكتابة في اللغة الانكليزية كلغة اجنبية للطلاب الكورد في الدراسات العليا- تحليل الاخطاء

الملخص:

تهدف الدر اسة الحالية إلى التحقق والتقييم والتصنيف في اخطاء الكتابة في اللغة الانكليزية كلغة اجنبية باستخدام طريقة الكمية والنوعية لمجموعة من طلاب الكورد في الدر اسات العليا في جامعة زاخو (مركز اللغة) بإقليم كردستان العراق. حيث شارك في هذه الدر اسة اثنين و ثلاثون من طلاب و طالبات الدر اسات العليا من تخصصات وشهادات مختلفة. كانت أداة جمع البيانات الرئيسية هي أوراق من اجوبة الطلاب للمناهج الابتدائية وما قبل المتوسطة لمستويات اللغة الإنجليزية تحت عنوان (cutting edge) من كتابة الانشاء المطلوب منهم كتابتها لغرض تحليل البيانات وايجاد أخطاء في الكتابة وتصنيفها. وفقًا لنتائج هذه الدراسة ، تم التحقيق في القواعد النحوية و علامات الترقيم والكلمات الزائدة و اخطاء الإملاء واليجاد أخطاء في الكتابة وتصنيفها. وفقًا لنتائج هذه الدراسة ، تم التحقيق في القواعد النحوية و علامات الترقيم والكلمات الزائدة و اخطاء الإملاء والكتابة بالأحرف الكبيرة والترجمة الحرفية للغة الام وإساءة الدراسة ، تم التحقيق في القواعد النحوية و علامات الترقيم والكلمات الزائدة و اخطاء الإملاء والكتابة بالأحرف الكبيرة والترجمة الحرفية للغة الام وإساءة واساءة استخدام الأفعال والادوات التعريف وحروف الجر غير الضروري وإساءة استخدام الضمائر وتوافق الفعل مع الفاعل وإساءة استخدام للوفية للغة الام وإلغاءة وإساءة استخدام كلمات الاقتران. حيث ان مجموعهم ثلاثة عشر نوعا مختلفًا من اصناف أخطاء الكتابة. و كذلك أظهرت نتائج هذا الاستطلاع أيضًا أن القواعد وإساءة استخدام المعات الترقيم ، والكلمة ، و الحرام ، والكتابة بالأحرف الكبيرة كانت في الغالب من اكثر الاخطاء التوبي يرتكبها المتعلمين الكورد. كذلك وإساءة استخدام للمات الترقيم ، والكلمة ، و الحماد ، والكتابة بالأحرف الكبيرة كانت في العالب من اكثر الاخطاء التي يرتكبها المتعلمين الكورد. كذلك الغوين ما للغاني التوبيم ، والكلمة ، و الحماء مان مالكاني للغا كن لكن للخطاء للتي يرتكبها المتعلمين الكورد. كذلك نظهرت النتائج أنه من مجموع 3627 عدد كلمات كان 1083 طلابهم واستخدامها كنوصيات تربوية و يالكتابة. هذه النتائج مهمة لمعلمي اللغة الإنجليزية تظهرت النتائج أنه من مجموع 3627 عدد كلمات كان 1083 طلابهم واستخدامها كنوصيات تربوية و يالم المتعلمين لتطوير مهار المع ويناء عند كنعة أجنيية لأنها تحفزهم على التركيز على أخطاء الكتابها ملابهم واست

شاشيين د نقيسينا زماني ننگليزيي دا و دكو زمانه كن بياني ژلايي قوتابيين خاندنا بلند ين كورددا

يوخته :

ئارمانجا قی قەكولىنى پشكنىنا شاشىبانە د زمانى ئنگلىزى دا وەكو نقىسىن ژلايى قوتابىين كورد بىن خاندنا بلند قە وەكو زمانى بىبانى بىر يكا نقىسىنا دار شتنى لسەر دوو بابەتتىن ھەلبر ارتى كو د ھىنتە ھرمارتىن بەشەكى گرنك د قەكولىنا زمانى ئنگلىزى دا وەك زمانەكى بىبانى بىر يكا بكارئىنانا شيوازى چەندايەتى و چەرايى. قەكولىين و پېداچوونا ئەدەبى و شىكاركرنا زانياربيان لسەر شاشىينى نقىسىنى دزمانى ئىڭلىزى دا وەك (ريزمان , خەلپەندن , پەيتىن زىدە , شاش نقىسىنى و چەرايى. كاپىتالكرن , وەرگىرانا زمانى دايكى , شاشىينى بكارئىنانا كاران , ئامىرىنى نامىسامەيى , گونتىن پېشىبان بىن نە پيريست , چوانيا بكارئىنانا شيوازى چەندايەتى و چەرايى. كاپىتالكرن , وەرگىرانا زمانى دايكى , شاشىينى بىلارىيان لسەر شاشىينى نۇيسىنى دزمانى ئىڭلىزى دا وەك (ريزمان , خەلپەندن , پەيتىن زىدە , شاش نقىسىن , كاپىتالكرن , وەرگىرانا زمانى دايكى , شاشىينى بىلارىيانا كاران , ئامىرىنى نامىسامەيى , گونتىن پېشىيان بىن نە پيريست , چوانيا بىرائىيانا جەناقكان , پەئر اندا كارى دىكىل بىكەرى , شاش بىكارئىنانا گونتىن پېشىيان , كوماس , و وشىن ھەقىرتىنى) ھاتىن دياركىن و دەست نىشــــــــــــــــكىن وىكى ، شاشىيىنى بىلىنى ئەلىيرىنى ، دېرىنى يەندا بىلار بەن دىيىتە كارى دىكىل بىكىرى ، قىرى ، شاش بىلىنى يېشىيان ، كوماس , و وشىي ھەقىرتىنى) ھاتىن دىياركىن و دەست نىشـــــــــــكىن ، ھائىرى ، دەرىتى لىيىنى (1083) شاشى ر كورمى وشىن ھاتىن نىقىسىن كو رمار اوان دىيىتە (3627)) و ئەف چەندە لىلەر كورمى (13) شاشيان يىن ھاتىن شىلوقىرى و دەستىنىشانكرى رىلائى پىسپورىن زمانى ۋە ھاتبو شاھىشىنى كورمار ، دارىتى . ئەكە قەكولىنە دى وى چەندە دىيار كەت كو پېتە بىدەدان د زمانى ، ئەلىيرى ، دارىنى ، ئىلىرى ، ھەتىنى ، ئىلىنى ، ئەلىسى ، ھاتىن سەرمان رىلى يەلىرى ، دىرى ، مەلىرى مەلىرى ، ئولى ، ئىلى ، ئەنىن دەلى ، ئە يەلىرى ، ئە يېي يەلىنى ، يەلىرى ، ئەرلى ، يەر رىلى ، ئەرلى ، ھەرمىي مەنىنى مەرمانى ، ئەينى ، ئەينى ، ئەنى ، ئەلىرى ، ئەرى ، ئەلىي ، ئەلىي ، ئەرى ، ئەلىي ، ئەرى ھەرموسى بىرى مەرى ، ھەلەي ھەتىي ، ھەلىي ، ئەيسىنى ، ئەلىنى ، ئەلىرى ، ئەلىرى ، ھەرى ، ئەلىرى ، ئەلى